

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

All Indirect Purchaser Actions;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-
cv-05513;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No.
13-cv-05264;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05262;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Chunghwa Picture tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514;

Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 13-
cv-01173;

Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips
Electronics, N.V., et al., No. 13-cv-02776;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;

Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et
al., No. 13-cv-05514;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-
05686;

Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.,
No. 14-cv-02510.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SEAL PORTIONS OF THEIR
RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN
LIMINE # 12 PURSUANT TO
CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND
79-5(D)**

1
2
3 The Court has considered Direct Action Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal Portions
4 of Their Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine # 12 Pursuant to Civil Local
5 Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d):

- 6
- The highlighted portions of Direct Action Plaintiffs' Opposition.

7 Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, if any, IT IS
8 HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Their Response
9 in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine # 12 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-
10 5(d) is hereby GRANTED.
11

12
13 Dated: _____, 2015

14 HON. SAMUEL CONTI
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28